Parsha Plug: Parshat Shemini

וַיִּיטָב הַעֵינָיו:

הלשון

| Rashi on Vayikra 10:2-3 | רש''י על ויקרא י:ב-ג |
|--|---|
| And fire went forth: Rabbi Eliezer says: Aaron's sons died only because they rendered halachic decisions in the presence of Moses, their teacher. Rabbi Ishmael says: They died because they had entered the sanctuary after having drunk wine. The proof is that after their death, the Torah admonished the survivors that they may not enter the sanctuary after having drunk wine. This is what the Lord spoke: But when did He speak? "And I will meet with the children of Israel, and it will be sanctified through My glory (בְּכְבוֹדְי) " (Shemot 29:43). Do not read הַכְּכְבוֹדְי, "through My glory," but הַכְּכְבוֹדָי honorable ones." Moses said to Aaron, "Aaron, my brother! I knew that this House was to be sanctified through the beloved ones of the Omnipresent, but I thought it would be either through me or through you. Now I see that they were greater than I or you!" | ותצא אש: רבי אליעזר אומר לא מתו בני אהרן אלא על ידי שהורו הלכה בפני משה רבן. רבי ישמעאל אומר שתויי יין נכנסו למקדש, תדע שאחר מיתתן הזהיר הנותרים שלא יכנסו שתויי יין למקדש. הוא אשר דבר וגו': היכן דבר ונועדתי שמה לבני ישראל ונקדש בכבודי (שמות כט ישראל ונקדש בכבודי (שמות כט מג). אל תקרי בכבודי אלא במכובדי. אמר לו משה לאהרן אהרן אחי יודע הייתי שיתקדש הבית במיודעיו של מקום והייתי סבור או בי או בך, עכשיו רואה אני שהם גדולים ממני וממך: |
| Rambam on Pirkei Avot 3:2:2 | רמביים פרקי אבות ג:ב:ב |
| From where is there proof that that even when there is only one | e מנין שאפילו אחד שיושב וכו'. |

From where is there proof that that even when there is only one person sitting, etc: In the first chapter of Berakhot 6a, it states in בפ' קמא דברכות אומר בזה this language: And from where is it derived that one who sits and מנין לאחד שיושב engages in Torah, the Divine Presence is with him? As it is ועוסק בתורה ששכינה עמו stated: "In every place where I cause My Name to be mentioned, שנאמר בכל המקום אשר I will come to you and bless you" (Exodus 20:21)...And the אזכיר את שמי אבא אליך explanation of "he is silent (vayidom)" as being from the hidden וברכתיך... ופירוש וידום מן speech is from "a still (demamah) small voice" (I Kings 19:12). הדבור הנסתר מקול דממה דקה And from this did Onkelos' translation explain, "And Aharon was וממנו פי' התרגום וידום אהרן silent" (Leviticus 10:3) as "And Aharon praised". And his proof ושתיק אהרן וראייתו שהוא that he is like one that observed the entire Torah completely is כמי שקיים כל התורה כולה from his saying, "since he takes it on himself" (Lamentations מאמרו כי נטל עליו כאלו נתינת 3:28), it is as if the giving of the Torah was only for his sake. התורה כולו היתה בעבורו לבד:

Rabbi Jonathan Sacks, Between Hope and Humanity (Shemini 5779)

Without going into the details of these exchanges, their psychology is enthralling. Moses tries to comfort his brother, who has lost two of his sons. He tells him that God has said, "Among those who are near to Me, I will show Myself holy." According to Rashi, he said, "Now I see that they [Nadav and Avihu] were greater than you and me." The holier the person, the more

God demands of them. It is as if Moses said to Aaron: "My brother, do not give up now. We have come so far. We have climbed so high. I know your heart is broken. So is mine. Did we not think - you and I - that our troubles were behind us, that after all we suffered in Egypt, and at the Red Sea, and in the battle against Amalek, and in the sin of the Golden Calf, we were finally safe and free? And now this has happened. Aaron, don't give up, don't lose faith, don't despair. Your children died not because they were evil but because they were holy. Though their act was wrong, their intentions were good. They merely tried too hard." But despite Moses' words of consolation, "Aaron remained silent," lost in a grief too deep for words. In the second exchange, Moses is concerned with something else - the community, whose sins should have been atoned for by the sin offering. It is as if he had said to Aaron: "My brother, I know you are in a state of grief. But you are not just a private person. You are also the High Priest. The people need you to perform your duties, whatever your inner feelings." Aaron replies: "Would the Lord have been pleased if I had eaten the sin offering today?" We can only guess at the precise import of these words. Perhaps they mean this: "I know that in general, a High Priest is forbidden to mourn as if he were an ordinary individual. That is the law, and I accept it. But had I acted on this inaugural day as if nothing had happened, as if my sons had not died, would this not seem to the people as if I were heartless, as if human life and death meant nothing, as if the service of God meant a renunciation of my humanity?" This time, Moses is silent. Aaron is right, and Moses knows it.

In this exchange between two brothers, a momentous courage is born: the courage of an Aaron who has the strength to grieve and not accept any easy consolation, and the courage of a Moses who has the strength to keep going in spite of grief. It is almost as if we are present at the birth of an emotional configuration that will characterise the Jewish people in centuries to come. Jews are a people who have had more than their share of suffering. Like Aaron, they did not lose their humanity. They did not allow their sense of grief to be dulled, deadened, desensitised. But neither did they lose their capacity to continue, to carry on, to hope. Like Moses, they never lost faith in God. But like Aaron, they never allowed that faith to anaesthetise their feelings, their human vulnerability.

That, it seems to me, is what happened to the Jewish people after the Holocaust. There were, and are, no words to silence the grief or end the tears. We may say - as Moses said to Aaron - that the victims were innocent, holy, that they died *al kiddush Hashem*, "in sanctification of God's name." Surely that is true. Yet nonetheless, "Aaron remained silent." When all the explanations and consolations have been given, grief remains, unassuaged. We would not be human were it otherwise. That, surely, is the message of the book of Job. Job's comforters were pious in their intentions, but God preferred Job's grief to their vindication of tragedy.

Yet, like Moses, the Jewish people found the strength to continue, to reaffirm hope in the face of despair, life in the presence of death. A mere three years after coming eye to eye with the Angel of Death, the Jewish people, by establishing the State of Israel, made the single most powerful affirmation in two thousand years that *Am Yisrael Chai*, the Jewish people lives.

Moses and Aaron were like the two hemispheres of the Jewish brain: human emotion on the one hand, faith in God, the covenant, and the future on the other. Without the second, we would have lost our hope. Without the first, we would have lost our humanity. It is not easy to keep that balance, that tension. Yet it is essential. Faith does not render us invulnerable to tragedy but it gives us the strength to mourn and then, despite everything, to carry on.

Rabbanit Alissa Thomas-Newborn March 2019